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Introduction*

The following is a description of the kinship terms in the Limbu language as it is spoken in Tamphula village in the Koshi Zone of Eastern Nepal. A brief historical sketch is provided to place the Limbus in a socio-historical perspective for the reader unfamiliar with Nepalese society. The Limbu terminology of kinship is described, and subsequently a number of kinship terms are related to Tibeto-Burman etyma. Finally, some concluding remarks are made, and diagrams and an alphabetical list of glossed kinship terms are included at the end of the article.

The definition of kinship adhered to throughout this article is that given by Sheffler (1972):

A kinship term is employed to designate a category of kinsmen; a kinsman is an individual to whom one (Ego) is related by genealogical connection, and genealogical connections are those culturally-posed relations among individuals which are presumed to be established by processes of conception and birth and which are held to be inalienable and congenital.

* The field work which provided the basis for this article was financed by the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O.).
Historical and Linguistic Background

The Kirantis, who were once the dominant political and cultural force in Nepal and ruled over the Kathmandu Valley in the time of Buddha, are generally believed to have been the progenitors of various present-day Tibeto-Burman peoples such as the Limbu, Bahing, Thulung, Newari and the Rai peoples. Although Kiranti influence was once widespread in central Nepal, most present-day 'Kiranti' peoples live in eastern Nepal with the exception of the Newari who are believed to be indigenous to the Valley.

Indeed, the term 'Kiranti' now denotes the Eastern Himalayan peoples of Tibeto-Burman linguistic and ethnic stock presently inhabiting eastern Nepal and the Sikkimese borderland. Linguistically, it represents one of Benedict's (1972) seven major divisions within Tibeto-Burman, and the term is employed by some modern linguists in its stricter sense to refer specifically to the Limbus.

Although relatively little is known about Nepal's early history, it is believed that the original Kirantis (also:

1. Toponymical studies in the Valley and surrounding areas could yield valuable data as to the extent of Kiranti influence. On the basis of what little is known, it might be conjectured that Kiranti influence was quite widespread (E.J.M. Witzel, personal communication).

2. Benedict (1972) classifies Newari as being a Kiranti language, whereas Shafer (1955, 1974) leaves it unclassified within Tibeto-Burman. The ramifications of such linguistic classifications for the classification of ethnic groups is not obvious.

3. S.K. Chatterji (alias Sunžíkumára GŚturjá) (1957), however, employs 'Kiranti' as a blanket term for all Sino-Tibetan peoples to the north and east of the Deccan.

Kirántí/Kiránťí descended from the area between the Sunkosi and Tamakosí in eastern Nepal and, if we are to rely on the chronicles, after three successive attacks by the Kuruh, Thuluh and Eluh, contingents, gained control of the Valley around the beginning of the eighth century BC. Yalambara, leader of the Eluh, conquered the cattleherder nation of king Śnuosthíka and established the Kiranti dynasty in the Valley.

Though inconsistencies between historical and the genealogical data of the chronicles cast serious doubt on the veracity of the legend that Gautama Buddha came to the Valley and expounded 1300 teachings in Kathmandu during the reign of the seventh Kiranti king Jítedast in the sixth century BC, it is alleged by the chronicles that during Kiranti rule Buddhist teaching gained currency and remained a persistent influential force in the Valley. Buddhism did not, however, replace the prevalent Shiva-oriented form of Hinduism practiced in the Valley alongside the veneration of indigenous Kiranti deities. After his pilgrimage to Buddha's birthplace Kapilavastu in 265 BC, the emperor Aśoka visited the Valley, allegedly during the reign of the fourteenth Kiranti king Śtuuhká, erecting five stupas in Patan.

1. In transcribing Nepalese names, the widely used transcription system (Gonda 1963) for rendering Devanágari orthography into Roman alphabet is employed, with the exception of well known Nepalese names and terms for which standard and widely used English spellings exist. The choice is admittedly arbitrary in some cases, but consistent application of Gonda's transcription system, though not always true to modern Nepalese pronunciation, enables accurate transliteration back into Devanágari script whilst obviating the problem of assigning arbitrary ad hoc spellings to Nepalese names with little currency in English. All Devanágari transcriptions are italicized. Although other transcription systems have been devised for transcribing Devanágari more recently (notably J.R. Firth's All-India Roman, T.W. Clark's adaptation thereof for Nepali, and Meerendonk's Gurkhali Roman), the present system has been chosen, as it has enjoyed a longer tradition and is familiar to most orientalist scholars.
After what, according to the chronicles, must have been a turbulent period in the Valley, during the reign of the twenty-eighth Kiranti king Patuka in the third century BC, various assaults by the Somavansi tribes were suffered. During the abbreviated reign of Patuka's son, the Kirantis were defeated by the first of five Somavansi kings, Nimisa, and in ca. 225 BC, over two millennia ago and after five centuries of Kiranti cultural and political ascendancy, kiranti rule in the Valley was brought to an end.

The Somavansi dynasty was succeeded by the Lilachau dynasty and subsequently yet other dynasties. In the beginning of the thirteenth century the allochthonous Malla dynasty was established which by the eighteenth century had left Nepal fragmented into numerous kingdoms and vassal states.

The Gorkhalis had been in the process of migration to the Valley since the sixteenth century, and the definitive Gorkhali conquest of Nepal by Prathu Narayana Saha had by 1769 led to the political consolidation of all areas roughly comprising present-day Limbuwan into Nepal as well as to the installment of the presently reigning dynasty.

Despite the unification of Nepal, no one Nepalese culture or Nepalese people can be said to exist. Various highly divergent ethnic groups reside in Nepal, and 58 languages are spoken within Nepal's borders (Korolev 1968), the majority of which belong to the Tibeto-Burman language family. The Indo-European language of the Gorkhals, nowadays known as Nepali, functions as Nepal's lingua franca and medium of formal education and administration and is currently the native language of approximately 50% of the population (Korolev 1968). During the regime of the Rana ministers, the languages of ethnic groups whose native language was not Nepali, especially speakers of Tibeto-Burman languages, were subject to systematic suppression on the part of the government.  

Despite Nepal's religious diversity and religious syncretism, the Hindu caste system has come to dominate Nepal's social fabric. Peoples originally unfamiliar with this system, such as the Gurung, Kiranti and Magar, have been assigned a position within the caste hierarchy somewhat lower than the second or warrior caste, Kshatriya or chetri (Bista 1980). The intricate dynamics of this assimilation process are discussed in Hansson (1982).

The Limbus

The Limbus, in particular, were amongst the last peoples to lose their independent status during the consolidation of the Nepalese state under Prathu Narayana Saha and his successors. At the beginning of the eighteenth century after the independent Limbu kingdoms in eastern Nepal had finally been subjugated, the Limbus, who had developed their own literary tradition in an indigenous Devanagari-based Limbu script, were viewed by the government as a threat to a united Nepal. The use of the Limbu alphabet was banned and the possession of Limbu writings outlawed.

Although now a minority in Nepal's multi-ethnic society, the Limbus' sense of identity as a separate people is particularly strong. The Nepalese governmental authorities have come to governmentally control all former tribal lands except those
of the Limbus, who have retained their ancestral land rights (Caplan 1964). These land rights are determined through the Limbu kinship system. The autochthonous Limbu \( kiptop \) system of land tenure was still operative in Limbu area in 1975 alongside the governmental \( rikara \) system (Jones & Jones 1976, Bista 1980). Limbus also enjoy relative freedom in the choice of marital partner as opposed to surrounding Hindu peoples and the Lepchas of Sikkim (Barnouw 1955).

The present-day Limbus are a sedentary people of the Mongoloid race, predominantly inhabiting sub-alpine regions in eastern Nepal's Koshi and Mechi zones and the western fringes of Sikkim and Darjeeling. Their main means of subsistence consists of agriculture, animal husbandry and some limited cottage industries such as weaving. The Limbus refer to themselves in their own language as jakthu and to their language as jakthupain.

The Limbu language is a Tibeto-Burman language spoken by approximately 180,000 people and may be roughly divided into four distinct dialect groups. In the eastern part of the Limbu domain, the panthar dialect is spoken, literally the dialect of the \( p\alpha\i t\alpha a \) or five clans. The \( t\alpha p\le j u h \) dialect (including tamarkhole, jagrupe and \( m\j h \)wakhole dialects) is spoken in the northern part of the Limbu domain along the Tamar river. The \( p\le d\le p\le p e \) dialect is spoken in and around the Tehrathum district of eastern Nepal. Literally the dialect of the \( o k a \) \( t\alpha a \) or six clans, the \( t\le p\le h \)thore dialect (including \( t\le p\le h \)thore pokhori and \( d\le a \)jappathom rdzun), apparently heavily influenced by the neighbouring 'Rai' languages, shares the lowest degree of mutual intelligibility with other Limbu dialects.

Field Work

During a three month-stay in the spring of 1984 in the small village of Tamphula in Tehrathum District (Koshi Zone) in eastern Nepal, one of the authors conducted linguistic field work with informants whose native language was the \( p\le d\le p e \) dialect of Limbu. The main purpose of the sojourn was the collection of an extensive corpus for study of the pronominal verb morphology of Limbu, but special attention was also devoted to kinship terms. During the stay amongst the Limbus of Tamphula village, an exhaustive list of Limbu kinship terms in the \( p\le d\le p e \) lexicon was compiled (see Appendix A), and the use of these terms was subsequently observed \( i n \) \( s\le u \). Nepali served as the contact language for communication with the Limbu-speaking target group.

---

1. In rendering Limbu words, including the various kinship terms discussed in this article, use has been made of International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA). The transcription is broadly phonetic and not necessarily phonemic. As a detailed study of Limbu phonology is in preparation, any statements as to the phonemic status of Limbu speech sounds will be withheld for the time being. IPA transcriptions of Limbu words are not italicized in our text.

2. It is this dialect of Limbu which will probably come to serve as the basis for written standard Limbu, not based on its suitability as a standard for all speakers of Limbu but as a result of the circumstance that the written form of this dialect is being used in Sikkimese primary schools in Limbu-speaking areas where laudable attempts are being made to revive Limbu as a written language. No such policy for stimulating the use of Limbu as a written language within or outside of the system of formal education exists in Nepal.
Limbu differentiates kin on the basis of generation, age within generation, sex, sex of speaker, sex of connecting relative, and, in in-law relations, kin via sibling versus kin via spouse.

Not all Tibeto-Burman kinship terminologies adhere strictly to what Schusky (1965) calls the 'generation principle'. In the Bathang dialect of Tibetan, for example, a man calls his mother-in-law 'mother's mother', a practice attributable to teknonymy. Teknonymy is a widespread and well attested phenomenon in Tibeto-Burman kinship nomenclature (Benedict 1941), whereby ego employs a term properly used by ego's child. The Kuki, Lepcha and Bathang (Tibetan) nomenclature affords excellent examples of how teknonymy works in violation of the generation principle. Moreover, Benedict (1941) notes that the close resemblance of some Tibeto-Burman kinship terminologies to the system generally referred to by anthropologists as the canonical Omaha-type results in inherent incompatibilities with the generation principle at several points. In some Tibeto-Burman languages, for example, maternal cross cousins are terminologically equated with parent's siblings and paternal cross cousins with sibling's offspring (vide Benedict 1941).

In addition to making distinctions on the basis of generation, Limbu differentiates on the basis of age within generation in terms of the relative age of the kin with respect to the speaker, as in the following Limbu sibling terms:

- elder brother: $p^h_u$
- elder sister: $n^s_u$.
- younger sibling: $n_u$.

What Schusky (1965) terms the 'sex principle' is not strictly upheld in the above Limbu sibling terms. Both younger brother and younger sister are classified together under the blanket term $n_u$, which is indifferent as to sex.

Age in generation also functions distinctively in kinship terms referring to members of ego's parents' generation. Age in generation relative to ego's parent is only distinctive, however, in the case of terms denoting parallel aunts and uncles (same sex as parent):

- father's elder brother: $tumba$
- father's younger brother: $p^h_\text{ona}$
- mother's elder sister: $tumma$
- mother's younger sister: $\text{suma}$.

In the case of cross uncles and aunts (different sex from parent), age within generation relative to ego's parent is not expressed in the terminology:

- father's sister: $\text{n}_a$
- mother's brother: $\text{n}_a$.

The age in generation principle similarly applies to aunts and uncles by marriage. Parallel uncles by marriage are terminologically differentiated on the basis of age within generation of their spouse relative to ego's parent. Mother's younger sister's husband, wedded to $\text{suma}$ (above), is termed $p^h_\text{ona}$, whereas mother's elder sister's husband, wedded to $tumma$ (above), is termed tumba. Parallel aunts by marriage are likewise differentiated. Father's younger brother's wife, wedded to $p^h_\text{ona}$ (above), is termed $\text{suma}$ whereas father's elder brother's wife, wedded to tumba (above), is termed tumma.

In contradistinction to parallel aunts and uncles by marriage, cross aunts and uncles by marriage are not terminologically differentiated on the basis of age within generation of their spouses. Cross aunts by marriage, mother's brothers'
wives, wedded to kwa? (above), are called nYa? (above), whereas cross uncles by marriage, father's sisters' husbands, wedded to nYa? (above), are called kwa?

Recapitulating, the spouse of a tumba is referred to as tumma and vice versa. The spouse of a p'oga? is referred to as suma? and vice versa. The spouse of a kwa? is called nYa? and vice versa. Limbu kinship nomenclature does not distinguish between uncles and aunts by blood and uncles and aunts by marriage.

It should be noted in passing that not all Tibeto-Burman kinship terminologies equate uncles and aunts by blood with uncles and aunts by marriage. In Gurung, for example, a cross uncle (MOBR) is called mom, whereas a cross uncle by marriage (FASIHU) is called am-no. A cross aunt (FASI) is called pha-ne~ whereas a cross aunt by marriage (MOBRWI) is called ani (Pignede 1966).

In Limbu, parallel cousins are freely equated with siblings. Both age within generation relative to ego and sex are therefore relevant criteria. Elder male and female parallel cousins are referred to as p'u and n97¢ respectively, and parallel cousins younger than ego are called nusa?, regardless of their sex. For cross cousins neither age within generation nor sex is terminologically significant. All cross cousins are referred to by the term luga?.

Just as in Limbu, parallel cousins are classificatory siblings in Gurung. Gurung, however, sees the parents of such parallel cousins as classificatory parents of ego. The single Gurung term 'a-pa denotes father, father's brother and mother's sister's husband. Pignede mentions the existence of a marriage taboo between ego and his/her parallel cousins amongst the Gurungs. Limbu, on the other hand, lacks such classificatory terms for the parents of parallel cousins:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Father</th>
<th>Pa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Father's elder brother</td>
<td>Tumma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sister's husband</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Father's younger brother | P'oga? |
| Sister's husband | |

It is not known to the authors whether this terminological distinction in Limbu has any analogue in terms of marriage taboos or preferences.

Sex of connecting relative, which is such an important criterion in ego's parents' generation and irrelevant to terms applying to grandparents and great-grandparents and offspring. The term t88ba functions to denote both one's maternal and paternal grandfather, and 8uma serves to denote both maternal and paternal grandmother. Male and female great-grandparents are termed su:t88ba and su:8uma respectively. Children are termed sa?, grandchildren m8ntvha? and great-grandchildren mu:msntcha?. All three terms are indifferent as to sex of referent.

The Tibetan kinship system distinguishes between elder siblings depending on the sex of speaker (Benedict 1941). This distinction is made in yet other Tibeto-Burman languages and Benedict maintains that it 'must be regarded as archaic for the group as a whole' (319).

In Limbu, there is a single term for elder sister (n97¢n97¢?) and elder brother (p'hu), regardless of the sex of the speaker. Although sex of speaker is irrelevant to terms denoting siblings by blood and parallel cousins (classificatory siblings), Limbu does reflect the Tibeto-Burman sex of speaker criterion in its terms for siblings-in-law. The siblings-in-law terms are given in Table 1 and 2.
Differentiation of in-law terms on the basis of sex of speaker is evident in the fact that a male calls all of his brothers-in-law kuñba, whereas a female speaker does not employ this term at all. A female speaker, on the other hand, calls her brothers-in-law pʰu, pʰupʰudre, suʔmippa or paṃpiṅk, contingent upon both brother-in-law's age in generation and the sex of connecting relative. A male speaker uses the term pʰu to denote an elder brother but never to denote a brother-in-law, and the terms pʰupʰudre, suʔmippa and paṃpiṅk are never employed by a male speaker.

The sex of speaker criterion which Benedict believes to be an archaic distinctive feature to Tibeto-Burman sibling terminology is seen to function in Limbu but is expressed solely in the five brother-in-law terms. The terms for denoting sisters-in-law and siblings are indifferent to the sex of the speaker. Moreover, the sex of speaker distinction in Limbu, where it applies, is not restricted to relatives whose age in generation is greater, unlike the posited archaic Tibeto-Burman sex of speaker distinction which applies to elder sibling terminology.

In examining the data in Tables 1 and 2 (which are also to be found diagramatically rendered in Figures 2 to 5), another terminologically distinctive parameter meets the eye, i.e. whether the sibling-in-law is related to ego via spouse or via sibling.

First of all, the terms for sister-in-law are different depending on whether she is related to speaker through the speaker's sibling or through the speaker's spouse. If we were to consider the data of the male speaking in-law system alone, we might be led to conclude that the sex of the connecting

---

1. On every horizontal line denoting sibling relationship in Figures 1 through 6, the elder siblings are depicted to the left and the younger siblings within a generation are situated to the right.
relative is the necessary and sufficient criterion for terminologically differentiating the speaker’s female siblings-in-law. However, the sex of connecting relative criterion fails to adequately explain the same terminological differentiation in the female speaking system where the sex of the connecting relative is constant. Here only the parameter of whether the sister-in-law is related via the female speaker’s spouse, male, or via her sibling, also male, can account for the difference in sister-in-law terms in the female speaking in-law system.

Secondly, besides being necessary to account for the sister-in-law terms in the female speaking system, this same parameter can successfully account for the brother-in-law terms in the female speaking system and the sister-in-law terms in the male speaking system, making it superfluous to invoke the sex of connecting relative criterion.

Rather, it appears that siblings-in-law are terminologically differentiated on the basis of minimally four criteria: sex, age in generation, sex of speaker, and whether the sibling-in-law is related to ego via spouse or via sibling. Only the first of these four criteria is relevant to each of the sibling-in-law terms. The remaining three are, however, both necessary and sufficient to account for the sibling-in-law terminology.

Lastly, the spouses of siblings-in-law through speaker’s spouse in both male and female speaking systems are each referred to by one of the three terms for ego’s own siblings, pʰu, nɛʔnɛʔ or nusaʔ. Rather than suggesting greater affinity, the use of these terms suggests nothing per se, as the terms applying to members of the core family (siblings, parents, grandparents and offspring) as well as terms for uncles and aunts are the most used terms of address for non-kin.
Sex of speaker dependant terminology also occurs elsewhere in the Limbu system of kinship terminology. Ego refers to his own offspring as sa?. Ego also refers to offspring of siblings of the same sex as sa?. Equivalently, both ego's parents and his/her parallel aunts and uncles (tumba, tumma, ph'apa?, suma?) refer to ego as sa?, parallel uncles and aunts calling ego as if though he/she were their own child. Yet, a male speaker refers to offspring of siblings of the opposite sex as lamsa?, regardless of the sex of the referent. A female speaker refers to sons and daughters of siblings of the opposite sex as nakpa?, regardless of the sex of the referent. Not only are the terms used by cross uncles and cross aunts distinct by virtue of the speaker of sex criterion, the cross aunt's terms for cross nephews and cross nieces distinguish sex of referent, whereas the terms employed by the cross uncle in referring to his cross nieces and nephews do not reflect the sex principle.

The sex principle and the sex of speaker distinction are also reflected in Limbu offspring-in-law terminology. Whereas the sex principle does not apply to the term for 'child' or 'offspring' (if necessary, a son may be denoted by the circumlocution jembitto'a?sa?, literally 'male child', and a daughter as mntc'uma?sa?, literally 'female child'), Limbu does differentiate between a daughter-in-law (pa?gli) and a son-in-law (pa?mli), though regardless of the sex of the speaker. Spouses of parallel nieces and nephews (classificatory offspring) are also denoted as pa?mli and pa?gli respectively.

Nieces-in-law and nephews-in-law are treated in a similar fashion. Cross uncles (kwa?) are said to refer to the spouses of their cross nieces and nephews as lamsa? pa?mli and lamsa? pa?gli respectively. Cross aunts (n'a?) are said to refer to spouses of cross nieces and cross nephews as nakpa pa?mli and nakpa pa?gli respectively.

Additionally, Limbu indicates an individual's age within generation by order of birth by the following ordinal terms:

- 'tumba first-born (masculine)
- 'tumma first-born (feminine)
- sa'rumba second-born (masculine)
- sa'rumma second-born (feminine)
- 'jangumba third-born (masculine)
- 'jangumma third-born (feminine)
- p'ok'waba youngest (masculine)
- p'ok'wama youngest (feminine)

Males and females are treated separately. The eldest female amongst a group of siblings will be called tumma, for example, even if she is the fourth child and unless she is the youngest child.

These ordinal terms, like other kinship terms, are used as terms of address and, as such, frequently occur in the vocative case with the syllabic ending [-e] appended to the nominative or casus rectus form. Unlike all other kinship terms, however, these ordinal terms when in the vocative do not take the first-singular possessive prefix. (See further below.)

1. The terms for nieces-in-law and nephews-in-law, though elicited as responses, were never observed in actual spontaneous usage. They may constitute actual, extant Limbu kinship terms, but their circumlocutionary character makes them suspect. As the only compound forms in the entire system of kinship terminology, lamsa? pa?gli, nakpa pa?mli and nakpa pa?gli bear great resemblance to the corresponding Nepali kinship terms, बहुरे जुवाई (bhanje juval), पूरे जुवाई (bhatije juval) and बहुरे बहुरी (bhatije bharī) respectively, and, as such, could conceivably be calques or loan translations from Nepali.
The terms for denoting parallel uncles and aunts older than parent, i.e. parent's elder siblings of the same sex, are identical to the ordinal term denoting 'first-born'. Whether this must be regarded as a case of polysemy or simple homophony is not evident.

Two Notes on Limbu Morphology Relevant to Kinship Terms

The Vocative and Possessive Affixes

All the kinship terms have thus far been given in their casus rectus form. When used as forms of address, they appear in the vocative case with the vocative case ending [-e]. The vocative case ending is by no means peculiar to kinship terms.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinship Term</th>
<th>Vocative Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p'hu</td>
<td>elder brother</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amp'ue</td>
<td>my elder brother!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kugba</td>
<td>brother-in-law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>agsunba</td>
<td>my brother-in-law!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yet, it seems that kinship terms, far more so than any other nouns, including proper names, are the words most frequently occurring in the vocative.

When addressing one's relatives, the relationship to ego, whether genuine or fictitious (vide infra), is expressed by the first-singular possessive prefix [a-]. When this possessive prefix is appended to a kinship term, a number of morphological alterations may take place, as follows.

1. A syllable-final nasal segment may be inserted between noun and prefix, e.g.

   p'hu                elder brother
   amp'ue             my elder brother!

2. Syllable-initial plosives preceded by the possessive affix may assimilate for voice, e.g.

   kugba                  brother-in-law
   agsunba                my brother-in-law!

3. Forms containing or consisting of two reduplicated segments may drop the first of these when the possessive prefix is added, with insertion of the nasal segment mentioned in (1).

   n'ne                 elder sister
   amn'ae              my elder sister!
   p'hup'udre      brother-in-law (through elder sister, female speaking)
   amp'hudree     my brother-in-law!

4. Occasionally a non-reduplicated initial syllable is dropped when the possessive prefix is added, with the insertion of the nasal segment mentioned in (1), e.g.

   nusa?            younger sibling
   ants'a?e         my younger sibling!
   cf. sa?          child
   asa?e           my child!

In the form ants'a?e just given, we see yet another process at work, whereby [s] becomes [t]. This phenomenon is discussed in the ensuing section.

The first-singular prefix is, of course, not the only possessive prefix in Limbu, and second-singular and third-singular possessive prefixes, [ke-] (with nasal segment: [keN-]) and [ku-] (with nasal segment: [kuN-]) respectively, also occur with kinship terms and all other nouns in the same fashion, e.g.

   p'hona?      uncle (father's younger brother or mother's younger sister's husband)
   amnp'ona?   my uncle
   kmb'ona?    your uncle
   kumb'ona?   his/her uncle

As pointed out previously, it is usual not to omit the first-
singular possessive prefix when using a kinship term as a term of address in the vocative, though it may be omitted with a difference in meaning. The possessive prefix is not employed together with the ordinal kinship terms.

A Phonological Note

The Limbu speech sounds [s] and [tʰ] are regular allophones of the phoneme /s/ occurring in complementary distribution. For present purposes, the rule may be formulated as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{/s/} & \rightarrow [t^{\text{\text{-}}}] \\
\text{/n/} & \rightarrow [	ext{n}]
\end{align*}
\]

In other words, the voiceless sibilant phoneme /s/ is realized as [tʰ] ensuing the dental plosive /t/ or dental nasal /n/. In all other environments it is realized as [s]. This phonological regularity in the Limbu language has ramifications for the kinship terms containing /s/, e.g.

- nusa? 'younger sibling'
- ant? 'my younger sibling'
- suma? 'aunt (mother’s younger sister or father’s younger brother’s wife)
- ant? 'my aunt'

Similarly, the forms m?nt? ‘grandchild' and m?mnt? 'great-grandchild' can be seen to be regular derivations of sa? 'child' by prefixation. Derivational morphology of kinship terms will be touched upon in the following section.

Limbu Kinship Terms in Light of the Tibeto-Burman Kinship Lexicon

The Limbu terms for parents and offspring, ma, pa and sa?, correspond to the Tibeto-Burman roots reconstructed by Benedict

The Limbu term for younger brother or sister nusa? can be regarded as compounded from the two Tibeto-Burman roots *na.w 'younger sibling' and *za 'offspring'. The first element *nu is cognate to Classical Tibetan ཞ nu 'younger sibling' which also takes part in compounds to give gender-specific younger sibling terms (Benedict 1941: 314-5).

Limbu n?n? 'older sister' is a reduplicated form corresponding to Tibeto-Burman *s-nan 'sister, daughter-in-law, wife'.

Limbu p?u 'elder brother' is cognate to Tibetan མ phu 'elder brother, male speaking', corresponding to the Tibeto-Burman root *puw meaning 'grandfather' and which has retained this meaning in the modern southern Tibeto-Burman languages, but which, as Benedict (1941, 1972) contends, has undergone a 'striking semantic transference' and adopted the meaning 'elder brother' throughout the northern Tibeto-Burman area. This phenomenon is not without historical precedent in Tibeto-Burman (q.v. Benedict 1941: 319-20). (On semantic metastasis in Tibeto-Burman, see Matisoff 1978.)

Limbu n?a? 'cross aunt' corresponds to Tibeto-Burman *ni(y) 'father’s sister' or ‘mother-in-law’, whereas Limbu k?a? is cognate to Benedict’s Tibeto-Burman root *kuw ‘mother’s brother’. The glide elements occurring finally in the reconstructed root occur as post-consonantal glides in both modern Limbu forms.

Limbu suma? 'parallel aunt, younger than parent' appears to be a compounded form of which the first member is cognate to Classical Tibetan ས su? 'mother’s sister' for which Benedict was unable to identify any Tibeto-Burman root (1941: 318).

1. All Tibeto-Burman proto-roots are those reconstructed by Benedict (1981).
Benedict himself (1941)\(^1\) identifies Limbu pʰoːga? 'parallel uncle, younger than parent' as cognate to Tibetan ལྷེ་བུ་ 'father's sister's husband, mother's sister's husband', Chepang pang 'uncle', Vayu pung-pung 'father's brother', Garo a-wang 'father's younger brother', Lashi wang-mo 'father's older sister's husband, husband's father' and (Benedict 1972) archaic Chinese Xiwa~/Xiw~us *phwau, corresponding to which he posits the reconstructed Tibeto-Burman root *bʷʰaŋʷ *pʰaŋ 'father's brother'.

Limbu tumma and tumba 'parallel aunt and uncle, older than parent' respectively are compounded from tum- and the Limbu sex-specific nominal-adjectival suffix -ma/-Pa. No correspondence to a Tibeto-Burman root has been identified, but the formal conformity of these forms with the ordinal kinship terms has already been commented upon.

Benedict (1941) posits the root *ts'e 'great, old' underlying numerous honorific kinship terms in Tibetan, including the honorific sibling terms, the words ཀྲེད་པོ་ 'great' and the plethora of Tibetan words which are derivatives of གོས་. Limbu tʰba 'grandfather' appears to be a compound of tʰ- and either pa 'father' or the masculine suffix -Pa. The first morpheme tʰ may be the reflex in Limbu of Tibeto-Burman *tˢʰ'e and/or it could be cognate to archaic Chinese tao 'grandfather', whereas the modern Mandarin compound ke-tha 'grandfather'. In some cases Archaic Chinese tao is the reflex corresponding to Tibeto-Burman initial *tʰ. Sufficient evidence is presently lacking, however, to determine whether Limbu tʰ is a regular reflex of Tibeto-Burman *tʰ in initial environments.

Limbu juma 'grandmother' similarly appears to be a compound

\(^1\) Although Benedict probably did not have a complete corpus of Limbu kinship terms at his disposal, he was aware of the Limbu form pʰoɡa, available to him as am-pang-a.
Burman *za 'child'. It is possible that the Limbu terms for man (vir as opposed to homo 'm na) and woman are derivatives of Limbu kinship terms via the following proposed etymology:

jimbitta* 'man' from *jimba 'husband' and sa? 'child', mnta? 'woman' from mn- ('ee above) and suma? 'mother's younger sister'.

Limbu lam-sa? 'cross niece/cross nephew, male speaking' appears to be a compound of lam- and sa? 'child'. In Limbu, the word lam means 'road, direction' and corresponds to Tibeto-Burman *lam 'road'. However, there is no cogent reason for ascribing the first element of lam-sa? to the same etymon as lam 'road'. Rather, the first element may correspond to Tibeto-Burman *la-.[.]m 'fathom, arm-spread', which would correlate with informants' indications that parallel nieces and nephews were felt to be more proximal to ego and cross nieces and nephews more remote.

Limbu pa-pi 'daughter-in-law' bears resemblance to some of the forms upon which Benedict (1972) bases his Tibeto-Burman reconstructed root *b-liy 'grandchild, niece/nephew', notably Garo (aq)-zi < *li, Burman l< u-mew (in inscriptions: miły) and Nung pheli. Such a tentative relation, however, seems not to account for the formally related modern Limbu form pa-pi (in Pänchhare dialect: pa-plami (Cemajon 1958/59), and Phedappe speakers of Myänzun also use this form), unless the latter is a rhyme analog of pa-pli plus mi 2 *ma.k 'son-in-law' (vide infra).

Limbu nakpa 'cross nephew, female speaking' and nakma 'cross niece, female speaking' appear to be cognate to the widely represented Tibeto-Burman root *ma.k ~ *s-ma.k, underlying numerous similar kinship terms in modern Tibeto-Burman languages. Benedict (1972) cites Tibetan རྒྱ་'mag-pa, Lepcha myok < *s-mak, Dhimal hma-wa, Hiri mak-bo ~ mag-bo, Kachin da-ma?, Burmese sa-mak, Lahu 5-mā-pa, Lushei ma-k-pa, Pwo ma?, Sgaw ma? and (1941) Mru, Meithei and Kukish. These terms, however, signify 'son-in-law'. Limbu nakpa, if cognate, represents a case of Tibeto-Burman semantic metastasis.
non-kin familiar with the family of the addressed. For example, the third-born son of a family may be called 'jangsumbae 'third-born (masculine, vocative)' by the neighbours.

No inferences about the social structure amongst the Limbus can be made on the basis of the fieldwork conducted with its emphasis on linguistic aspects of kinship terminology. The authors concur with Kroeber's view that 'Terms of kinship reflect psychology, not sociology. They are determined primarily by language and can be used for sociological inferences only with extreme caution' (1909: 84).

Although not actual kin as we define it above, the seba or bond friend of the same sex as ego may be mentioned, with which ego shares a special relationship. The corresponding Nepalese terms are mit or mit-sath'i for a male bond friend and mitin'i for a female bond friend or for the wife of a male bond friend. The Limbu terms pa'ñj and ma'ñj denote father's and mother's bond friend respectively.

Barnouw (1955: 28) notes that such a friendship amongst the Rai of eastern Nepal is 'initiated by a simple ceremony ... which commits the two partners to a quasi-familial relationship'. Quasi-, pseudo- or fictitious kinship are, according to Schusky (1974: 3, 69), relationships formed along the lines of and resembling consanguineal kinship relations.

According to Barnouw, the sense of relationship is so strong between ego and his/her mit/mitin'i that an incest taboo holds between their two families. We are of the opinion that terms such as quasi-, pseudo- and fictitious kinship are more indicative of the putative categories of the anthropologist (i.e. kin vs.

1. The field work did not disclose whether amongst the Limbus an incest taboo holds between ego's family and the family of his/her seba.

Use of such terms leads one to think that relationships such as that of the seba amongst the Limbus are derived from the kinship system, at least in the minds of those involved. In this way, a researcher defines the character of such social relations within such cultures a priori through presuppositions in his own mind. We therefore advocate an alternative approach whereby a study is made of the manner in which the bond with the seba is symbolically expressed without delimiting one's range of vision to the domain of kinship.

Kinship is primarily an aspect, not a determinant of behaviour. In connection with Limbu kinship classification in a broader Tibeto-Burman perspective, we should like to draw attention to kinship categories such as they exist amongst the Limbus according to Jones & Jones (1976), who base their observations on fieldwork conducted in the vicinity of Tehrathum Bazar in eastern Nepal from 1967-1969. This article is based on fieldwork conducted in the same area. Jones & Jones established that the Limbus employ three kinship categories in order to organize labour and land use. The first category consists of patrilinear kin, the 'bongsoli' or 'relatives by the bone'. The second category consists of mother's brothers, the 'mamoli' or 'relatives of the flesh'. The third category consists of affinal relatives, the 'kutumba'.

These categories were observed amongst the Tibetans by the Jesuit priest Ippolito Desideri who traveled to Tibet in the years 1715-21. According to Desideri the difference between rus-pa 'one bone' and sa cig 'one flesh' is that the former denotes a group descendant from some
same remote ancestor, whereas the latter denotes normal proximal consanguinal relatives. Relation through membership of the same bone, though genetically distant, was viewed by the Tibetans as an inviolable bar to matrimony. An incest bar also applied to members of the same flesh in the first degree, though offspring of mother's brother were eligible candidates for marriage to ego, and were often taken as partners in wedlock (Benedict 1941).

Benedict (1941) maintains that the 'one flesh' versus 'one bone' distinction is nowhere prominent in his own modern Tibetan sources. The term अम्लया 's, according to Benedict, in modern Tibetan denotes an 'exogamic patrilineal lineage or gens'. Benedict mentions that some remnant of this distinction was attested by O'Malley for the speakers of the Dânjongkâ dialect of Tibetan, called Bhotias, residing in Sikkim, a group observed to practice cross cousin marriage.

Benedict further notes that the Lepchas believe the bones and brain to originate ontologically from the father's semen, whereas flesh and blood are believed to ontologically develop from the mother's vaginal secretions. The term 'bone' in Tibetan and its cognates in other Tibeto-Burman languages often have to do with terms for family or kin group (Benedict 1941: 328). This and other considerations lead Benedict to conclude that there was 'an ancient cultural stratum, underlying both Chinese and Tibeto-Burman cultures, in which cross-cousin marriage was a conspicuous feature'.

The distinction, no longer observed to exist amongst the Tibetans by Benedict, was also not mentioned by our own informants, the Phedappe dialect speakers of Limbu.

According to the above, marriage was strongly tied to the kinship system. According to Jones & Jones, the categories 'one bone' and 'one flesh' do not play any role in the choice of marital partner. They dispute the idea that the kinship system serves as an instrument to forge matrimonial ties, whereby the role of the male is decisive, either as 'wife-takers' or as 'wife'givers'. Jones & Jones maintain that Limbu women decide for themselves 'because of their control over their own labour in everyday production, rather than factors which are related to the structures of the Limbu kinship system'.

It must be emphasized, however, that the significance of what we call 'kinship' for Limbus as individuals is ever changing, both in time and, at any given point in time, in different contexts. According to Jones & Jones, the categories 'one flesh' and 'one bone' still exist for the Limbus but are relevant only to the production process, having no function in establishing matrimonial ties.

The relationship of kwa?, mother's brother, to lamsa?, sister's son, may be considered in a similar fashion. Mother's brothers (= 'mamoli', Jones & Jones) play a role in the production process and, according to other authors (Sagant 1973, Barnouw 1956), in ceremonial functions. Particularly in Sagant's description, it becomes apparent that kwa? and lamsa? behave differently towards each other in different situations.

Mother's brother has the same status as a member of ego's own clan, according to Sagant. He may even issue orders in the household of his sister's son. When kwa? (MOBR) receives lamsa? (SISO) in his house, he places lamsa? in the front portion of the house, a place associated with superior kin status, but also in the lower portion of the house in keeping with the lower social status of the lamsa?.

The meaning of kinship and the use of kinship terms form a set of symbols and meanings in the midst of other such sets. These must be studied in action within other cultural domains. Kinship is not a universal determinant of behaviour. It too is subject to being reshaped and redetermined, as with the categories 'one flesh' and 'one bone'. 
Comparison with other Tibeto-Burman kinship systems is important in this regard in order to shed light on matters such as cultural change and loans. In this article, kinship cannot be isolated for lexical purposes in the awareness that kinship was isolated for lexical purposes in the awareness that kinship cannot be isolated in real life.

Figure 1. Limbu System, male speaking (Part I: paternal relatives and male siblings' offspring)
Figure 2. Limbu System, male speaking (Part II: maternal relatives and female siblings’ offspring)

Figure 3. In-Law System, male speaking

Figure 3. In-Law System, female speaking
Figure 4  Limbu System, female speaking (Part I: maternal relatives and female siblings' offspring)

Figure 5  Limbu System, female speaking (Part II: paternal relatives and male siblings' offspring)
Glossary of Limbu Kinship Terms

am'ba
See po.
am'ma
See ma.
am'ma 'pa?k' ma
See ma 'pa?k' ma.
am'ma 'tumma
See ma 'tumma.
am'p'u
See p'u.
'amphudre
See p'up'udre.
'b'we:
Reduced, familiar form of amp'u=e:, the vocative form of amp'u.
'jagsuma
[adj.] third-born (masculine).
'jagsumma
[adj.] third-born (feminine).
'jimba
Husband.
'jimbi't'o?sa?
A male child.
it'schabo
Father-in-law of offspring.
it'schumma
Mother-in-law of offspring.
'juma
Grandmother.
'ka?p'saba
Twin.
'kho?sa?
Bastard, child without a father.
'kuqbo
Wife's elder brother, wife's younger brother, elder sister's husband (if ego is male), younger sister's husband (if ego is male).
k'a?
Maternal uncle or husband of paternal aunt.
'lamao
Sister's child (if ego is male).
'lamsa' pagli
Sister's son's wife (if ego is male).
'lamsa' pagmi
Sister's daughter's husband (if ego is female).
'luga?
A cousin related through a maternal uncle or a paternal aunt.
ma
Mother (Also familiarly: mother-in-law)
ma'lo
Mother's bond friend
ma 'pa?k' ma
Father's second wife (if ego is child of first wife).
ma 'tumma
Father's first wife (if ego is child of second wife).
me?
Wife.
mc'n'to?ga?
Grandchild (either grandson or granddaughter).
'mcnto'humma'sa?
A female child.
mumcn'to?ga?
Great grandchild (either great-grandson or great-granddaughter).
'pa?k'ma?
Brother's daughter (if ego is female).
'pa?k'ma? 'pagmi
Brother's daughter's husband (if ego is female).
'pa?k'ma? po?
Brother's son (if ego is female).
'pa?k'ma? po? 'pagli
Brother's son's wife (if ego is female).
'ne?re
Elder brother's wife.
'ne?ne?
Elder sister, elder female cousin related through paternal uncle or maternal aunt, wife's elder sister, husband's elder sister, wife's elder brother's wife, husband's elder brother's wife.
'nu?ma
Mother-in-law.
'nus?
Father-in-law.
'pa?kli
Younger sibling (younger brother or younger sister).
'pa?kli pagli
Younger cousin related through paternal uncle or maternal aunt, wife's younger brother's wife, wife's younger sister's husband, husband's younger brother's wife, husband's younger sister's husband.
'pa?kli ma7
Paternal aunt or wife of maternal uncle.
'pa?kli ma7k'
Younger brother's wife (the feminine form of the word 'pa?k' ma7 which lacks gender and means 'baby').
'pa?kli po
Father (Also familiarly: father-in-law).
'pa?kli po?lo
Father's bond friend.
'pa?kli pagli
Son's wife, brother's son's wife (if ego is male), sister's son's wife (if ego is female); [Also occasionally: brother's son's wife (if ego is female), sister's son's wife (if ego is male)].
'pagli
Daughter's husband, brother's daughter's husband (if ego is male), sister's daughter's husband (if ego is female); [Also occasionally: brother's daughter's husband (if ego is female), sister's daughter's husband (if ego is male)].
'pa?kli p'u
Younger sister's husband (if ego is female).
'pa?kli p'u 'wama
[adj.] youngest sibling or offspring (masculine).
'pa?kli p'u 'wama
[adj.] youngest sibling or offspring (feminine).
'pa?kli p'lo
Father's younger brother, mother's younger sister's husband.
'pa?kli p'lo
Elder brother, elder male cousin related through a paternal uncle or maternal aunt, wife's elder sister's husband, husband's elder brother, husband's elder sister's husband.
'pa?kli p'lo
Elder sister's husband (if ego is female).
Child (either son or daughter), brother's child (if ego is male), sister's child (if ego is female).

sa'rumbo [adj.] second-born (masculine).
sa'rumma [adj.] second-born (feminine).
su'tjuma Great-grandmother
'suma' Mother's younger sister, Father's younger brother's wife.
'su?mi'p ma Husband's younger sister, wife's younger sister.
'su?mi'p po Husband's younger brother.
su'tcaba Great-grandfather.
t'heba Grandfather.
t'tumba Father's elder brother, mother's elder sister's husband.
t'tumba [adj.] first-born (masculine).
t'tumma Mother's elder sister, Father's elder brother's wife.
t'tumma [adj.] first-born (feminine).
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